



Vegetation structure of plantain-based agrosystems determines numerical dominance in community of ground-dwelling ants

Anicet Gbéblonoudo Dassou^{1,2,3}, Philippe Tixier^{3,4}, Sylvain Dépigny^{2,3} and Dominique Carval^{3,5}

¹ BIORAVE, Faculty of Sciences and Technologies, Dassa, UNSTIM, Benin

² CARBAP, Douala, Cameroon

³ UPR GECO, CIRAD, Montpellier, France

⁴ Departamento de Agricultura y Agroforesteria, CATIE, Turrialba, Costa Rica

⁵ UPR GECO, CIRAD, Le Lamentin, France

ABSTRACT

In tropics, ants can represent an important part of animal biomass and are known to be involved in ecosystem services, such as pest regulation. Understanding the mechanisms underlying the structuring of local ant communities is therefore important in agroecology. In the humid tropics of Africa, plantains are cropped in association with many other annual and perennial crops. Such agrosystems differ greatly in vegetation diversity and structure and are well-suited for studying how habitat-related factors affect the ant community. We analysed abundance data for the six numerically dominant ant taxa in 500 subplots located in 20 diversified, plantain-based fields. We found that the density of crops with foliage at intermediate and high canopy strata determined the numerical dominance of species. We found no relationship between the numerical dominance of each ant taxon with the crop diversity. Our results indicate that the manipulation of the densities of crops with leaves in the intermediate and high strata may help maintain the coexistence of ant species by providing different habitat patches. Further research in such agrosystems should be performed to assess if the effect of vegetation structure on ant abundance could result in efficient pest regulation.

Submitted 23 May 2017

Accepted 22 September 2017

Published 13 November 2017

Corresponding author

Dominique Carval,
dominique.carval@cirad.fr

Academic editor

Budiman Minasny

Additional Information and
Declarations can be found on
page 9

DOI 10.7717/peerj.3917

© Copyright
2017 Dassou et al.

Distributed under
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Subjects Agricultural Science, Ecology, Entomology

Keywords Cameroon, Dominant, Subdominant, Subordinate, Ants, Vegetation strata, Baits

INTRODUCTION

In tropics, ants are known to potentially represent the major part of animal biomass (Hölldobler & Wilson, 1990). Moreover, in agrosystems, they are known to be involved in pest regulation and other ecosystem services (Perfecto & Vandermeer, 2006; Philpott & Armbrrecht, 2006). Understanding the factors affecting the structure of local ant communities is therefore an important issue in agroecology. The structure of the community may be related to physical factors that affect physiology of organisms (humidity and temperature) and ecological factors (Philpott & Armbrrecht, 2006). Ecological factors,

which are the focus of the present study, can include both ecological interactions (e.g., foraging interference) and habitat-related factors (e.g., nesting sites).

Previous studies have shown that vegetation may affect the ant communities by affecting habitat structure (Perfecto & Vandermeer, 1996; Vasconcelos et al., 2008; House et al., 2012; Murnen, Gonthier & Philpott, 2013). A common observation of these studies is that habitats that reduce the abundance of a dominant ant species increase ant species richness. Perfecto & Vandermeer (1996) showed that the addition of artificial shade to a tropical agrosystem decreased the abundance of the dominant ant *Solenopsis geminata* while it increased the abundance of other ant species. Vasconcelos et al. (2008) found that trees and tall grasses affect ant species composition in savannas of South America; more specifically, they reported that tall grass cover reduced the incidence of the dominant ant species, *Solenopsis substituta*. In a study of ants in an agricultural matrix, House et al. (2012) found that species richness and abundance were higher in native woodlands than in pastures or crops but the dominance of Dolichoderinae ants was higher in pastures or crops than in native woodlands. By manipulating food and nesting site availability, Murnen, Gonthier & Philpott (2013) demonstrated that ant community composition is greatly influenced by habitat type, which determines nesting resource availability, while food quantity alone had no effect on community composition.

Ant diet varies within and between subfamilies and genera. Many ants may be mainly omnivorous and opportunistic, while others are specialized for predation, fungus-growing, or herbivory (seeds and nectar) (Hölldobler & Wilson, 1990). Therefore, at the community level, ant diets represent a continuum between herbivory and strict predation (Bluthgen, Gebauer & Fiedler, 2003) and are likely to be affected by plant diversity. Bluthgen, Gebauer & Fiedler (2003) proved through isotope analysis that the dominant ant species with small to intermediate colonies in tree canopies tend to be herbivorous (including feeding on extrafloral and floral nectaries), that the dominant canopy ants with large colonies tend to be omnivorous, and that understorey or ground-dwelling ants tend to occupy higher trophic levels.

In the humid tropics of Africa, plantains (*Musa* AAB genome) are cropped in association with annual crops (root, tuber, and vegetable crops) and perennial crops (cocoa, coffee, and palm) (Côte et al., 2010). Because such agrosystems differ greatly in vegetation diversity and structure, they are useful for studying how habitat-related factors affect ant community structure. Using diversified plantain agrosystems in the current study, we (i) determined the dominant and subordinate ant species in the dry and rainy seasons and (ii) tested the hypotheses that local vegetation structure and plant diversity determine the numerically dominant ant at the genus level.

METHODS

Fields, plots, and subplots

We conducted our study in the Mounjo department of the Littoral Region of Cameroon (Central Africa) from June 2012 to February 2013. We selected 20 farmer fields near the CARBAP research station (4° 34' 11.33" N; 9° 38' 48.96" E; 79 m a.s.l.). Field experiments were

approved by the CARBAP Research Station (Njombé, Cameroon) where the experiment was performed. All the fields have a young, brown soil derived from a volcanic platform (*Delvaux, Herbillon & Vielvoye, 1989*). The climate is humid tropical with a monthly mean temperature ranging from 25.0 to 27.4 °C and a mean annual rainfall of 2,610 mm. All fields contained plantain crops (*Musa AAB* genome) and a diverse array of other annual and perennial crops. Pesticides and fertilizers are rarely applied in these low input agrosystems.

In each field, we assessed ants and crops in one 12 × 12 m plot, which was subdivided into 25 subplots of 2.4 × 2.4 m. We sampled during two periods: the rainy season (mid-March 2012 to mid-November 2012) and the dry season (mid-November 2012 to February 2013).

Vegetation structure and diversity

For all subplots, we identified all cropped plants, measured their density (number of plants of each species per m²), and recorded their coordinates with a measuring tape (using subplot corners as a references to minimize error). We classified the plant species into four categories according to the location of their canopies relative to the soil surface: low stratum (height ≤ 2 m), intermediate stratum (2 m < height ≤ 6 m), high stratum (height > 6 m), and *Musa* group. For each category, we calculated the density of plants, i.e., the number of plants of a considered category per m². Plant diversity at each subplot was assessed by the Shannon Index (*Shannon, 1948*), which was calculated with the ‘diversity’ function of the ‘VEGAN’ R package (*Dixon, 2003*).

Bait sampling

In each subplot, we measured ant abundance by using 2/3 tuna–1/3 honey baits. The 2 cm-radius bait was placed in the centre of a white ceramic square tile (30 cm side), which was itself placed at the ground level in the centre of the subplot. Thirty minutes after the baits were deployed, we counted the individuals of different species/morphospecies present on the tile. Samples of all observed species were collected and conserved in 70% alcohol to perform identification to the genus according to *Fisher & Bolton (2016)*, then to the species. When we were not able to determine the species, a morphospecies number was assigned to the individual on the basis of morphological specificities. The ants were also recorded according to a six point abundance scale (following *Andersen, 1997; Parr et al., 2005; Baccaro, Ketelhut & De Morais, 2010*). We performed bait samples twice for each subplot, during two periods: the rainy season (mid-March 2012 to mid-November 2012) and the dry season (mid-November 2012 to February 2013).

Dominant, subdominant and subordinate ants

Following *Baccaro, Ketelhut & De Morais (2010)* and similarly to *Carval et al. (2016)*, we combined three numerical and behavioral criteria of dominance to determine dominant, subdominant and subordinate ants. The dominant (respectively subdominant) ants were considered as those that were recorded in >10% of all baits, controlled >25% (respectively >10%) of baits where they occurred, and with a mean abundance score (i.e., the sum of the abundance scores for the species at all baits divided by the number of baits at which the species was present) of >3.5 (respectively >3). All other species that did not meet all these criteria was considered as subordinate species.

Table 1 Occurrence of dominant, subdominant, and subordinate ants at baits.

Species	Baits recorded (%)		Baits controlled (%)		Mean abundance score	
	Rainy season	Dry season	Rainy season	Dry season	Rainy season	Dry season
Dominant						
<i>Pheidole</i> spp.	36.8	43.6	25.6	37.2	3.4	3.8
Subdominant						
<i>Axinidris murielae</i>	0.0	10.4	–	13.5	–	4.2
Subordinate						
<i>Paratrechina longicornis</i>	32.6	36.8	11.0	9.2	2.8	2.8
<i>Tetramorium</i> sp.	11.2	13.4	7.1	20.1	2.4	3.2
<i>Monomorium bicolor</i>	16.0	7.8	12.5	15.4	2.7	2.5
<i>Monomorium</i> sp. 1	25.6	28.2	4.7	3.5	2.4	2.1
<i>Monomorium</i> sp. 2	0.0	1.2	–	0.0	–	2.5
<i>Camponotus acvapimensis</i>	30.2	29.8	1.3	5.4	2.3	2.2
<i>Camponotus brutus</i>	22.2	15.2	9.9	7.9	2.5	2.3
<i>Camponotus</i> sp. 1	0.0	1.2	–	33.3	–	3.3
<i>Camponotus</i> sp. 2	0.0	0.6	–	0.0	–	3.7
<i>Odontomachus troglodytes</i>	6.2	5.6	0.0	3.6	1.5	1.7

Then, we grouped ants by genus and we excluded *Odontomachus troglodytes* from the following analysis because of its very low occurrence on baits (see Table 1). We assessed the influence of the season (dry, rainy) on the occurrence of each genus by using binomial generalized linear models.

Effect of vegetation strata on numerical dominance of ants

For each subplot, we attributed rank values for each ant genus according to their respective abundances (Parr & Gibb, 2010). The genera with the rank of one were considered as the numerically dominant genus at the subplot scale. Then, we used multinomial logit model to assess the effect of plant diversity and of the density of each stratum on the probability that an ant genus was numerically dominant. We used likelihood ratio tests (LRTs) to select the best model by removing non-significant parameters in a backwards-stepwise process. The selection procedure was continued until a model was found in which all effects were significant (Zuur et al., 2009). Multinomial models were estimated using the ‘VGAM’ package (Yee, 2010).

All statistical analyses were performed with R 3.3.1 (R Core Team, 2016) and with an alpha level of 0.05.

RESULTS

Overall, we recorded 20,910 ants belonging to 11 species or morphospecies. *Pheidole* spp. was the most abundant taxon (9,200 individuals) followed by *Paratrechina longicornis* (3,037 individuals), *Monomorium* sp. 1 (1,696 individuals), *Tetramorium* sp. (1,562 individuals), *Camponotus acvapimensis* (1,517 individuals), *Camponotus brutus* (1,328 individuals), *Monomorium bicolor* (1,296 individuals) and *Axinidris murielae* (895 individuals). The




Figure 1 Frequencies of numerical dominance of subplots for each ant taxon in the (A) rainy and (B) dry seasons.

Full-size DOI: [10.7717/peerj.3917/fig-1](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3917/fig-1)

remaining four species were relatively scarce, namely: *Camponotus* sp. 1 (166 individuals), *Odontomachus troglodytes* (144 individuals), *Monomorium* sp. 2 (35 individuals) and *Camponotus* sp. 2 (34 individuals).

Dominant, subdominant, and subordinate ants

Pheidole spp. was identified as the dominant genus because it combined a high occurrence on baits, a large proportion of controlled baits and a high mean score abundance (Table 1). *Axinidris murielae* was identified as a subdominant species because it combined a moderate proportion of controlled baits and a high mean score abundance (Table 1). All other species were considered subordinate (Table 1).

Occurrence of each genus was not significantly affected by the season, except for *Axinidris murielae* which was absent on baits in the rainy season and for *Pheidole* spp. whose occurrence was higher in the rainy season (Figs. S1 & S2, Table S1). Frequency of numerical dominance was similar in the rainy season and dry season (Fig. 1).

Table 2 Cultivated plant species in each stratum of diversified plantain-based agroecosystems. Stratum refers to the location of the plant canopy relative to the soil surface.

Stratum	Cultivated plant species
Low	<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L. (groundnut), <i>Xanthosoma sagittifolium</i> (Schott) (macabo), <i>Colocasia esculenta</i> L. (taro), <i>Dioscorea</i> spp. (yam), <i>Capsicum anuum</i> L. (hot pepper), <i>Solanum macrocarpon</i> L. (garden egg), <i>Corchorus</i> spp. (crin-crin), <i>Ananas comosus</i> L. (pineapple), <i>Amaranthus</i> spp., <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L. (tomato), <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> (Medik) (gombo), <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. (cowpea), <i>Ipomoea batatas</i> L. (sweet potato), <i>Zea mays</i> L. (maize)
Intermediate	<i>Carica papaya</i> L. (papaya), <i>Manihot esculenta</i> (Crantz) (cassava), <i>Vernonia</i> spp., <i>Gnetum africanum</i> (eru), <i>Triumphetta pentadra</i> (Rich.)
High	<i>Elais guineensis</i> (Jacq.) (oil palm), <i>Coffea Arabica</i> L. (coffee), <i>Theobroma cacao</i> L. (cocoa), <i>Cola acuminata</i> (Schotte & Endl.) (cola), <i>Dacryodes edulis</i> Lam (safou), <i>Persea americana</i> (Mill.) (avocado), <i>Psidium guajava</i> L. (guava), <i>Mangifera indica</i> L. (mango)
Musa	<i>Musa</i> AAA (banana), <i>Musa</i> AAB (plantain)

Table 3 Likelihood ratio tests for the strata multinomial model. Stratum refers to the location of the plant canopy relative to the soil surface. Intermediate, high, and low strata indicate a high density of plants with canopies at intermediate, high, and low strata, respectively. Values in bold are statistically significant at an alpha level of 0.05.

Variable	Δ d.f.	Chi ²	p-value
Intercepts	5	333.29	<0.0001
Plant diversity	5	7.68	0.174
Intermediate stratum	5	33.14	<0.0001
High stratum	5	18.85	0.002
Musa stratum	5	10.00	0.075
Low stratum	5	9.96	0.076

Effect of vegetation strata on numerical dominance of ants

We recorded 31 plant species, which we grouped into four vegetation strata (Table 2). The probability of dominance of each ant taxa was not significantly affected by the density of plants in the low and *Musa* strata but was significantly affected by the density of plants in the intermediate and high strata (Table 3). The dominance of *Pheidole* spp., *Monomorium* spp., and *Tetramorium* sp. was negatively correlated with the density of plants in the intermediate and high strata, whereas the dominance of *P. longicornis*, *Camponotus* spp., and *A. murielae* was positively correlated with the density of plants in the intermediate and high strata (Fig. 2). The probability of dominance of each ant taxa was not significantly correlated with plant diversity (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

We found that ants of the *Pheidole* genus were the numerically dominant ants in our study fields. *Abera-Kalibata et al. (2007)* found that three morphospecies of *Pheidole* were among the most abundant ants in banana fields in Uganda. Elsewhere, we observed similar frequencies of numerical dominance for *P. longicornis*, *Camponotus* spp., and *Monomorium* spp. These results also agree with the literature in that ants of the *Camponotus* genus are




Figure 2 Predicted probability of dominance for (A) *P. longicornis*, (B) *Pheidole* spp., (C) *Tetramorium* sp., (D) *A. murielae*, (E) *Camponotus* spp. and (F) *Monomorium* spp. Grey curves: response to plant density of intermediate stratum; black curves: response to plant density of high stratum.

Full-size  DOI: [10.7717/peerj.3917/fig-2](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3917/fig-2)

considered ubiquitous subordinate ants that may numerically dominate arboreal vegetation (Davidson, 1997; Tadu et al., 2014). The tramp crazy ant *P. longicornis* is an exploitative competitor and uses a foraging strategy with worker recruitment occurring at a short-range of distance (Kenne et al., 2005). The numerical dominance of *P. longicornis* on baits is thought to be principally linked to its foraging speed (Kenne et al., 2005). *Tetramorium* sp. and *A. murielae* were numerically dominant less frequently than the other taxa. However, when present on baits, *A. murielae* displayed a high abundance score resulting in the control of a moderate proportion of baits.

We hypothesized that the vegetation structure determines which species numerically dominates the ground-dwelling ant community at the local (subplot) scale. We indeed found that the general trend of numerical dominance can be altered by the density of plants in the intermediate and high strata. The probability of being numerically dominant for ground-dwelling ants like *Pheidole* spp., *Monomorium* spp., and *Tetramorium* sp. decreased as the density of the intermediate and high strata increased, while the probability of being dominant for the mostly arboreal taxon *Camponotus* spp. and the tramp species *P. longicornis* increased with the density of plants in the intermediate stratum. A high density of high strata plants also increased the abundance of these taxa, but as the density of plants with leaves in the high stratum increased, the dominance of the strictly arboreal

ant *A. murielae* increased. We found no effect of plant density in low stratum on the dominance of ants. In Australia, [Stevens et al. \(2007\)](#) also found no effect of ground cover on the dominance of the Dolichoderinae ant *Iridomyrmex* in citrus groves. Together, these results suggest that plant density in the low stratum does not directly modify habitats for the six studied taxa ([Andersen, 1995](#)). However, the low stratum may have influenced the cryptic ants (e.g., hypogaeic and litter-dwelling ants), as demonstrated by [Bestelmeyer & Wiens \(1996\)](#); that possibility should be investigated in future research.

According to [Ribas et al. \(2003\)](#), low and high woody plant densities may influence ant communities through three processes: (i) resources increase with woody plant density, and an increase in resources would enhance ant species diversity; (ii) habitat conditions are altered by the density of woody plants, and habitat conditions would affect which ants are numerically dominant; and (iii) the variation in woody plant densities may lead to species–area patterns. Our results on dominance hierarchies are in agreement with the second and third processes. Indeed, the effects of strata densities are consistent with the preferred ecological niches of the six studied ant taxa. For instance, ground-dwelling taxa were, in our study, negatively related to the density of arboreal habitats (e.g., intermediate and high strata). This agrees with [Lassau & Hochuli \(2004\)](#) who found that the abundance of species that only nest on ground was negatively related to the density of tree cover. The abundance of *Camponotus* spp., which may forage both on the ground and in the arboreal stratum, was positively related to the density of high strata plants, which correspond to arboreal nesting or foraging habitats, except in the extreme densities of the high stratum, which coincided with the numerical dominance of *A. murielae*. The members of the latter species nest strictly in trees and are primarily arboreal foragers but may occasionally forage in ground litter ([Snelling, 2007](#)). We observed individuals of *A. murielae* on baits only in the dry season, which is consistent with the view that arboreal ant species forage at ground-level during the dry season, when resources in trees are relatively scarce ([Delabie, Agosti & Do Nascimento, 2000](#)). *P. longicornis*, known as the crazy ant, is a native of West Africa and prefers moist habitats for reproduction ([Kenne et al., 2005](#)). The nests of this tramp species are often small, ephemeral and occur in a wide range of habitats (e.g., plant cavities, live or dead plants, leaf litter). An increase in the density of plants with leaves in the intermediate and high strata may enhance the local hygrometry and therefore increase the nesting sites available for *P. longicornis*. However, *P. longicornis* is a weak competitor against common ground-dwelling ant species (including *Camponotus* spp.) in its native range ([Kenne et al., 2005](#)). We hypothesize that, as the density of plants with leaves in the high stratum increases in a plantain field, the availability of foraging and nesting sites increases, and better competitors like *Camponotus* spp. and *Axinidris murielae* predominate the area and resulting in a decrease in the positive effect of the intermediate stratum density on *P. longicornis*.

We found no relationship between the numerical dominance of each ant taxon with the crop diversity. One explanation may be that the studied taxon were omnivores that feed in multiple trophic level (consumers of plant resources, hemipteran honeydew, herbivores, predatory arthropods or even scavengers), and may not be affected by the identity of plants that support only a part of their diet. One other explanation may be that the presence

and abundance of species is linked to nesting habits. For instance, most *Camponotus* spp. forage both arboreally and on the ground but have specialized nesting habits in that they generally start colonies in living or dead trunks, such as banana pseudostems. [Davidson \(1997\)](#) argued that this kind of ant species locates its nest on preferred resource plants. Consequently, plant diversity would not modify their nesting or foraging habits.

Ants have been increasingly recognized as important predators in tropical and subtropical agricultural systems ([Way & Khoo, 1992](#); [Perfecto & Castineiras, 1998](#); [Offenberg, 2015](#)). Ants have complex and often strong effects on lower trophic levels ([Philpott, Perfecto & Vandermeer, 2008](#)) and may be useful in pest management ([Perfecto, 1991](#)). In plantain and banana agrosystems, the banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is the most important pest ([Gold, Pena & Ekaramura, 2001](#)). In Martinique, using metabarcoding analysis and predation tests, [Mollet et al. \(2014\)](#) recently showed that *C. sordidus* is preyed on by the arboreal ant *Camponotus sexguttatus* F. (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) and the ground-dwelling ant *Solenopsis geminata*. In the current study, we have shown that *Camponotus* spp. were favoured by the intermediate and high strata. *Pheidole* spp. has been suggested to be a potential natural enemy of *C. sordidus* in Uganda ([Abera-Kalibata et al., 2007](#); [Abera-Kalibata, Gold & Van Driesche, 2008](#)), and *Pheidole megacephala* and *Tetramorium guineense* (Bernard) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) are used as biological control agents of *C. sordidus* in Cuba ([Castineiras & Ponce, 1991](#); [Perfecto & Castineiras, 1998](#)). Our results indicate that the manipulation of the densities of crops with leaves in the intermediate and high strata may help maintain the coexistence of ant species by providing different habitat patches. Further research in such agrosystems should be performed to assess if the effect of vegetation structure on ant abundance could result in efficient pest regulation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank B Jaffee for revising English language of the manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work is part of a PhD thesis of Anicet Dassou and was funded by CIRAD (AIRD grant) and the C2D project. Dominique Carval was funded by the Project ‘sustainable cropping systems design’ from E.U. FEDER (grant PRESAGE no. 30411). Dominique Carval, Philippe Tixier and Sylvain Dépigny were funded by the CIRAD. There was no additional external funding received for this study. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

CIRAD: AIRD grant.

C2D project.

E.U. FEDER: 30411.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Anicet Gbéblonoudo Dassou conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Philippe Tixier conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Sylvain Dépigny conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, wrote the paper, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Dominique Carval analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.

Field Study Permissions

The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

Field experiments were approved by the CARBAP Research Station (Njombe, Cameroon) where the experiment was performed.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The complete raw data with information on vegetation (density of each crop species) and on each ant species (abundance) is contained in a [Supplemental File](#). A commented R script that enables the reproduction of all the statistical analysis and all the figures is also uploaded as a [Supplemental File](#).

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3917#supplemental-information>.

REFERENCES

- Abera-Kalibata AM, Gold CS, Van Driesche R. 2008.** Experimental evaluation of the impacts of two ant species on banana weevil in Uganda. *Biological Control* **46**:147–157 DOI [10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.04.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.04.017).
- Abera-Kalibata AM, Gold CS, Van Driesche RG, Ragama PE. 2007.** Composition, distribution, and relative abundance of ants in banana farming systems in Uganda. *Biological Control* **40**:168–178 DOI [10.1016/j.biocontrol.2006.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2006.11.006).
- Andersen AN. 1995.** A classification of Australian ant communities, based on functional-groups which parallel plant life-forms in relation to stress and disturbance. *Journal of Biogeography* **22**:15–29 DOI [10.2307/2846070](https://doi.org/10.2307/2846070).
- Andersen AN. 1997.** Functional groups and patterns of organization in North American ant communities: a comparison with Australia. *Journal of Biogeography* **24**:433–460 DOI [10.1111/j.1365-2699.1997.00137.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.1997.00137.x).

- Baccaro FB, Ketelhut SM, De Morais JW. 2010.** Resource distribution and soil moisture content can regulate bait control in an ant assemblage in Central Amazonian forest. *Austral Ecology* 35:274–281 DOI [10.1111/j.1442-9993.2009.02033.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2009.02033.x).
- Bestelmeyer BT, Wiens JA. 1996.** The effects of land use on the structure of ground-foraging ant communities in the Argentine Chaco. *Ecological Applications* 6:1225–1240 DOI [10.2307/2269603](https://doi.org/10.2307/2269603).
- Bluthgen N, Gebauer G, Fiedler K. 2003.** Disentangling a rainforest food web using stable isotopes: dietary diversity in a species-rich ant community. *Oecologia* 137:426–435 DOI [10.1007/s00442-003-1347-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1347-8).
- Carval D, Cotté V, Resmond R, Perrin B, Tixier P. 2016.** Dominance in a ground dwelling ant community of banana agroecosystem. *Ecology and Evolution* 6:8617–8631 DOI [10.1002/ece3.2570](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2570).
- Castineiras A, Ponce E. 1991.** Effectiveness of the use of *Pheidole megacephala* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in the biological control of *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Proteccion de Plantas* 1(2):15–21.
- Côte F, Tomekpe K, Staver C, Dépigny S, Lescot T, Markham R. 2010.** Agro-ecological intensification in banana and plantain (*musa* spp.): an approach to develop more sustainable cropping systems for both smallholder farmers and large-scale commercial producers. *Acta Horticulturae* 879:457–464 DOI [10.17660/ActaHortic.2010.879.50](https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2010.879.50).
- Davidson DW. 1997.** The role of resource imbalances in the evolutionary ecology of tropical arboreal ants. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 61:153–181 DOI [10.1111/j.1095-8312.1997.tb01785.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1997.tb01785.x).
- Delabie JHC, Agosti D, Do Nascimento IC. 2000.** Litter ant communities of the Brazilian Atlantic rain forest region. *Curtin University of Technology School of Environmental Biology Bulletin* 18:1–17.
- Delvaux B, Herbillon AJ, Vielvoye L. 1989.** Characterization of a weathering sequence of soils derived from volcanic ash in cameroon—taxonomic, mineralogical and agronomic implications. *Geoderma* 45:375–388 DOI [10.1016/0016-7061\(89\)90017-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061(89)90017-7).
- Dixon P. 2003.** VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 14:927–930 DOI [10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02228.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02228.x).
- Fisher BL, Bolton B. 2016.** *Ants of Africa and Madagascar: a guide to the genera*. Oakland: University of California Press, 512 pp.
- Gold CS, Pena EJ, Ekaramura EB. 2001.** Biology and integrated pest management for the banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Integrated Pest Management Reviews* 6:79–155 DOI [10.1023/A:1023330900707](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023330900707).
- Hölldobler B, Wilson EO. 1990.** *The Ants*. Berlin: Belknap Press.
- House AN, Burwell C, Brown S, Walters B. 2012.** Agricultural matrix provides modest habitat value for ants on mixed farms in eastern Australia. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 16:1–12 DOI [10.1007/s10841-011-9389-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-011-9389-4).
- Kenne M, Mony R, Tindo M, Njaleu LCK, Orivel J, Dejean A. 2005.** The predatory behaviour of a tramp ant species in its native range. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 328:1025–1030 DOI [10.1016/j.crv.2005.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crv.2005.09.001).

- Lassau SA, Hochuli DF. 2004. Effects of habitat complexity on ant assemblages. *Ecography* 27:157–164 DOI 10.1111/j.0906-7590.2004.03675.x.
- Mollot G, Duyck P-F, Lefeuvre P, Lescourret F, Martin J-F, Piry S, Canard E, Tixier P. 2014. Cover cropping alters the diet of arthropods in a banana plantation: a metabarcoding approach. *PLOS ONE* 9(4):e93740 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0093740.
- Murnen CJ, Gonthier DJ, Philpott SM. 2013. Food webs in the litter: effects of food and nest addition on ant communities in coffee agroecosystems and forest. *Environmental Entomology* 42:668–676 DOI 10.1603/EN12294.
- Offenberg J. 2015. REVIEW: ants as tools in sustainable agriculture. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 52:1197–1205 DOI 10.1111/1365-2664.12496.
- Parr CL, Gibb H. 2010. Competition and the role of dominant ants. In: Lach L, Parr CL, eds. *Ant ecology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Parr CL, Sinclair BJ, Andersen AN, Gaston KJ, Chown SL. 2005. Constraint and competition in assemblages: a cross-continental and modeling approach for ants. *American Naturalist* 165:481–494.
- Perfecto I. 1991. Ants (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) as natural control agents of pests in irrigated maize in Nicaragua. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 84:65–70 DOI 10.1093/jee/84.1.65.
- Perfecto I, Castineiras A. 1998. Deployment of the predaceous ants and their conservation in agroecosystems. In: Barbosa P, ed. *Perspectives on the conservation of natural enemies of pest species*. San Diego: Academic Press, 269–289.
- Perfecto I, Vandermeer J. 1996. Microclimatic changes and the indirect loss of ant diversity in a tropical agroecosystem. *Oecologia* 108:577–582 DOI 10.1007/BF00333736.
- Perfecto I, Vandermeer J. 2006. The effect of an ant-hemipteran mutualism on the coffee berry borer (*Hypothenemus hampei*) in southern Mexico. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 117:218–221 DOI 10.1016/j.agee.2006.04.007.
- Philpott SM, Armbrrecht I. 2006. Biodiversity in tropical agroforests and the ecological role of ants and ant diversity in predatory function. *Ecological Entomology* 31:369–377 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2311.2006.00793.x.
- Philpott SM, Perfecto I, Vandermeer J. 2008. Effects of predatory ants on lower trophic levels across a gradient of coffee management complexity. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 77:505–511 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01358.x.
- R Core Team. 2016. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Ribas CR, Schoereder JH, Pic M, Soares SM. 2003. Tree heterogeneity, resource availability, and larger scale processes regulating arboreal ant species richness. *Austral Ecology* 28:305–314 DOI 10.1046/j.1442-9993.2003.01290.x.
- Shannon C. 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal* 27:379–423 DOI 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x.
- Snelling RR. 2007. A review of the arboreal afro-tropical ant genus *Axinidris*. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 80:551–579.
- Stevens MM, Madge DG, James DG, Diffey S, Schiller LJ. 2007. Ground cover management does not influence densities of key *Iridomyrmex* species (Hym., Formicidae)

in Australian citrus groves. *Journal of Applied Entomology* **131**(8):532–536
[DOI 10.1111/j.1439-0418.2007.01188.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2007.01188.x).

Tadu Z, Djiéto-Lordon C, Yede, Youbi E, Aléné C, Fomena A, Babin R. 2014. Ant mosaics in cocoa agroforestry systems of Southern Cameroon: influence of shade on the occurrence and spatial distribution of dominant ants. *Agroforestry Systems* **88**:1067–1079 [DOI 10.1007/s10457-014-9676-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-014-9676-7).

Vasconcelos HL, Leite MF, Vilhena JMS, Lima AP, Magnusson WE. 2008. Ant diversity in an Amazonian savanna: relationship with vegetation structure, disturbance by fire, and dominant ants. *Austral Ecology* **33**:221–231
[DOI 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2007.01811.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2007.01811.x).

Way MJ, Khoo KC. 1992. Role of ants in pest-management. *Annual Review of Entomology* **37**:479–503 [DOI 10.1146/annurev.en.37.010192.002403](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.37.010192.002403).

Yee TW. 2010. The VGAM package for categorical data analysis. *Journal of Statistical Software* **32**:1–34 [DOI 10.18637/jss.v032.i10](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v032.i10).

Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Saveliev AA, Smith GM. 2009. *Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R*. New York: Springer-Verlag.