A new typing technique for the Rickettsiales *Ehrlichia ruminantium*: Multiple-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis
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1. Introduction

*Ehrlichia ruminantium* (ER) is a member of the order Rickettsiales transmitted by *Amblyomma* ticks. This obligatory intracellular bacterium is the causative agent of a fatal disease in ruminants, named heartwater. It represents a constraint on breeding development in sub-Saharan Africa and in the Caribbean. The genetic diversity of the strains of ER, which could be a limiting factor to obtain effective vaccines, needs to be better characterized. For this purpose, we developed a molecular typing technique based on the polymorphism of variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) sequences, MLVA (multiple locus VNTR analysis). Eight (out of 21) VNTR candidates were validated using 17 samples representing a panel of ER strains from different geographical origins from West, South Africa, and Caribbean areas and in ER infected ticks and goat tissues. This result demonstrated the ability of these VNTRs to type a wide range of strains. The stability of the selected VNTR markers was very good, at the time scale needed for epidemiological purposes: in particular, no difference in the VNTR profiles was observed between virulent and attenuated strains (for Gardel and Senegal strains) and between strains (Gardel and Blonde strains) isolated in the same area 19 years apart. We validated the strong discriminatory power of MLVA for ER and found a high level of polymorphism between the available strains, with 10 different profiles out of 13 ER strains. The MLVA scheme described in this study is a rapid and efficient molecular typing tool for ER, which allows rapid and direct typing of this intracellular pathogen without preliminary culture and gives reliable results that can be used for further epidemiological studies.
2. Methods

2.1. Biological samples

2.1.1. DNA of ER strains from in vitro culture

ER strains were isolated from blood of ill ruminants in different geographical areas and then cultured in vitro in bovine endothelial cells first in the regional laboratories and then at CIRAD. Bovine aorta endothelial cells used to produce ER strains were primary cell lines isolated at CIRAD laboratory from Creole cattle. ER DNA was extracted from infected bovine aorta endothelial cells following the protocol previously described (Frutos et al., 2006, Martinez et al., 1994). Briefly, after high speed centrifugation (20,000×g during 30 min), the pellet of elementary bodies was resuspended in 350 μl of saline phosphate buffer, 150 μl of DNase (1 μg/ml) was added to remove the contaminating bovine DNA from host cells and the samples were incubated at 37 °C for 90 min. The treatment was stopped by adding 25 mM of EDTA. Whole bacterial DNA was obtained using QiAamp extraction kit (Qiagen, France).

Seventeen DNA samples corresponding to 13 strains of ER from various geographical origins (including Gardel and Senegal at 2 different passages on bovine aortic endothelial cells), were tested by PCR targeting VNTR (Table 1). These 13 strains included two of the three strains sequenced previously: ERGA (ER Gardel) NC_006831 (GenBank Accession, CR929577) and ERWE (ER Welgevonden) NC_006832 (GenBank Accession, CR929578) (Frutos et al., 2006). ERWE is originated from ERWO, initially isolated in South Africa in 1985 but maintained in a different cell environment after reception in Guadeloupe in 1988. Even if ERWO and ERWE are very close, they differ from each other by more than 3 kb as a result of having different numbers of tandem repeats and genes (Frutos et al., 2006). The CTVM Gardel strain was originated from Gardel strain isolated in Guadeloupe in 1982 and transferred and cultivated in 1993 at the Centre for Tropical Veterinary Medicine (CTVM, University of Edinburgh, Scotland) (Bekker et al., 2005). It is characterized by a recombination between map1–2 and map1–3 genes and complete deletion of map1–2. Blonde strain was also isolated in Guadeloupe in 2001 from infected ticks collected on a sick goat and engorged on naive animals and then cultured in vitro. Virulent Senegal, Lutale and Umpala strains were kindly provided by Pr Frans Jongejan from Utrecht University and Sankat 430 by Dr Lesley Bell Sakyi from the CTVM. Bekuy 255, Banan 112, Bankouma 421 and Cameroon strains were provided by Dr Frederic Stachurski from CIRDES (Burkina Faso) (Bekker et al., 2005). Senegal and Gardel strains were attenuated in vitro after successive passages in bovine endothelial cells at CIRAD laboratory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate/strain Name</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Geographical area</th>
<th>Passages</th>
<th>Virulence a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gardel ERGA p18</td>
<td>Guadeloupe</td>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardel ERGA p27</td>
<td>Guadeloupe</td>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardel ERGA p40</td>
<td>Guadeloupe</td>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardel ERGA p237</td>
<td>Guadeloupe</td>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardel CTVM p5</td>
<td>Guadeloupe</td>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blonde BLONDE p8</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bekuy 255 ERBE p9</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankouma 421 ERBk p4</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banan 112 ERBA p7</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon ERCA p9</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sankat 430 ERSA p4</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal ERSE p5</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal ERSE p64</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutale ERLU p2</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mara ERMA p1</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umpala ERUM p2</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welgevonden ERWE p11</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| a Virulence in vivo. |
attenuation of Gardel passage 237 and Senegal passage 64 was verified on goats. Naïve goats were infected experimentally with 9.10^4 (2 goats) and 9.10^5 (1 goat) viable elementary bodies of Gardel passage 230 which corresponded respectively to 1 lethal dose and 10 lethal doses for the virulent strain. Infection induced transient clinical symptoms such as fever and loss of appetite but no mortality occurred (Vachiery et al., 2006). The same protocol was performed using Senegal passage 64 with 2 goats infected with 9.10^4 and one goat with 9.10^5 viable elementary bodies. Animals recovered without any treatment and were slightly ill during incubation period.

2.1.2. DNA from ER infected ticks and goats

ER DNA was extracted from A. variegatum ticks, brain and lung from Creole goats experimentally infected with Gardel (tick samples 0517F3 and 0517M4 and goat 0046 brain and 9833 brain and lung) or infected with Bekuy 255 (tick sample 0340M1). Briefly, goats were inoculated intravenously using calibrated ER from infected cells as described previously (Vachiery et al., 2006). Five to 6 days after infection, nymphs were engorged on the animals in order to feed during hyperthermia around day 10. After tick moulting, DNA was extracted from ticks using DNA QiAmp minikit (Qiagen, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and the positivity for ER was confirmed using pCS20 nested PCR (Molia et al., 2008). Organs (brain and lung) were collected after death of goats experimentally infected and DNA was extracted using DNA QiAmp minikit (Qiagen, France). Uninfected controls for both types of samples, ticks (0622M1) and goat organs (0543: brain and 0327: brain and lung), were tested.

Ethics statement: Animal experiments were conducted according to internationally approved OIE standards, under authorizations set forth by the director of the veterinary services of Guadeloupe on behalf of the Prefect of Guadeloupe on August 2006 (authorization number: A971-18-01).

2.2. Computer analysis of repetitive DNA sequences for use as VNTR candidates

The genomic DNA sequences of two ER reference strains ERGA (ER Gardel), NC_006831, and ERWO (Ehrlichia ruminantium str. Weigevonden, GenBank Accession CR767821.1, Reference Sequence NC_005295.2) (Collins et al., 2005; Frutos et al., 2006) were screened for repetitive DNA sequences using the tandem repeats database developed by Le Flèche et al. (2001). The following criteria were considered for application to the selection of VNTR candidates: 1/ Different numbers of repeats between the two reference strains ERGA and ERWO; 2/ Absence of homology between a candidate sequence and its flanking regions; 3/ Repeat unit lengths between 9 and 300 bp; 4/ Percent matches of tandem repeats preferably higher than 75%. Predicted PCR product sizes for the ER reference strains were also deduced using the minisatellite website (http://minisatellites.us-psud.fr). BLASTN analysis of the repeat sequences allowed exclusion of the repeats present in other available genomes, especially from other Anaplasmataceae in order to avoid any cross reaction. The percent matches of repeat units were also provided for each reference strain ERGA and ERWO by the database developed by Le Flèche et al. (2001). After VNTR selection and validation on ER strains, homology between ERWO and ERWE for each validated VNTR was also measured by multi align software using NCBI database.

2.3. MLVA development

2.3.1. Primer design for the VNTR candidates

The tandem repeats database described by Le Flèche et al. (2001) also provides the flanking sequences for each VNTR candidate, both 450 bp upstream and 450 bp downstream of the repeat motif. BLASTN analysis of these flanking sequences was used for designing the forward and reverse primers. Primers were designed according to the following criteria: 1/ GC% similar for all primers in order to use the same PCR conditions for all VNTR; 2/ GC% close to 50%; 3/ Absence of annealing with other regions of ER genome, with other pathogen genomes and with bovine genome. The VNTR candidates were known as RU (Ruminantium), and each selected VNTR was designated RU followed by a number. The location of each RU in the ER genome is described as the ‘locus’. An ‘allele’ corresponds to a given number of repeat units for a given RU or locus. The VNTR name, basic unit (BU) length, % GC, % conservation between ERGA and ERWO, primer sequences, number of BU for ERGA and expected size of amplicons on Gardel strain are shown in Table 2.

2.3.2. VNTR candidate amplification, analysis and selection

VNTR amplification of ER DNA samples was conducted in a volume of 25 μl containing 1 μl of purified DNA, 1 x Takara buffer, 2.5 mM of each dNTP, 0.8 μM of forward and reverse primers, and 1 U Takara Ex Taq™ polymerase (TAKARA Bio Inc., Japan). After the initial denaturation 3 min at 94 °C, the 35 cycles PCR run included 3 different steps: denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 50 °C for 1 min and extension at 72 °C for 1 min and 30 s. It was followed by final extension 10 min at 72 °C. Taq polymerase (Eurobio, France) at 0.5 U per mix reaction was used too. For infected or naïve ticks and organs, 1–2 μl of DNA was used and the following polymerses were tested with the same PCR conditions as described above: TaKaRa Ex Taq™ (TAKARA Bio Inc., Japan), Pwo SuperYield DNA polymerase (Roche, France), Taq polymerase (Eurobio, France) and GoTaq® Hot Start Polymerase (Promega, France).

PCR products were separated by gel electrophoresis in 1–2% agarose gels, and stained with ethidium bromide. Long gels (26 x 40 cm, CBS Scientific model SGU-2640T-02) and migration times of 2 h at 260 V/cm (Generator PS608 (600 V – 800 mA – 300 W), Apexel ®, France) were used, in order to allow an accurate measure of the band size. Different molecular ladders were used (from 50 bp to 1 kb). These procedures permitted to differentiate two alleles even with 9pb difference. For a given RU, the expected PCR product length for ERGA strain was calculated considering the unit length, the number of units in the ERGA strain locus, and the length of the flanking sequences separating primer hybridization sites from RU regions. The estimated size range was determined for the different alleles.

2.3.3. Data analysis

For evaluating the discriminatory power of the selected RU, the Hunter and Gaston, (1988) discrimination index (DI) was used, as recommended by the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Study Group on Epidemiological Markers (Struelens, 1996). This index measures the probability that two isolates or strains, randomly chosen, will have different types (Struelens, 1996). It is defined by:

\[ DI = 1 - \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{S} (n_j - 1) \]

N: number of isolates or strains; S: total number of alleles; and \( n_j \): number of isolates or strains with the allele \( j \). Polymorphism is considered high when this index is higher than 95% (Felsenstein, 1989).

Clustering analysis was done using a phenetic approach, since the comparison of strains was based on small genomic sequences. The distance matrix was constructed by counting the number of different loci between isolates. With this method, the character states are considered to be unordered and, for a given RU, the same weight is given to a small or a large difference of the number of repeats. Several distance measures may be used when building trees using neighbour-joining algorithm (or other distance-based methods such as UPGMA). However, distances based upon the number of repeats (Manhattan distance, Euclidian distance, Minkowski distance, etc.)
should only be used when a consensus exists about the marker evolution model. Such a consensus does not exist in our case. Therefore the most conservative distance (the categorical distance) was chosen, which is solely based on the number of differences between markers. This distance is widely used in VNTR-based studies e.g. (Asgharzadeh et al., 2011; Li et al., 2009; Skuce et al., 2002). It is also the default distance proposed for neighbour-joining analysis of VNTR data in common software such as Paup. (Uncorrected-P’ distance) or in online resources such as MIRU-VNTRplus (Allix-Béguec et al., 2008). Neighbor-joining (NJ) cluster analysis was then performed using PHYLIP (Felsenstein, 1989). Two dendrograms were built, one with the selected criteria, and were at 6 to 8, RU-19, RU-21 and 22 (Table 3).

3. Results

3.1. Development of MLVA using ER DNA from in vitro cultures

From the two sequences of the reference ER strains, ERGA and ERWO, 21 RU candidates fit with the selected criteria, and were at least dimorphic (Table 2). The percentage of identity between repeated units varied from 76% to 99%, except for RU-5 (53%). The bimodal distribution of the candidates according to their sizes (6, i.e. 28.5% with sizes from 9 to 24 bp vs 15 i.e. 71.5% with sizes between 124 and 203 bp) almost strictly reproduces the proportion of these VNTR within ER genome (Frutos et al., 2006). These 21 RU candidates were considered for further testing of their polymorphism and of their ability to distinguish strains from different geographical origins, using a series of 13 strains from different regions (6 West African strains: Bekuy 255, Banan 612, Bankouma 421, Cameroon, Sankat 430 and Senegal, 3 Caribbean isolates; Gardel, Gardel CTVM and Blonde, and 4 South African isolates: Welgevonden, Umpala, Mara and Lutale). For RU-1, 5, 17, 18, and 20, we observed a lack of amplification for at least two strains, except for Gardel, Welgevonden and Cameroon strains which were systematically amplified (data not shown). In the case of the Senegal strains, RU-5 and 20 were amplified only with the virulent strains; conversely amplification was observed only for RU-1 and 17, on the attenuated strain. There was amplification of the majority of ER strains using RU-2 to 4, 6 to 8, 10 to 16, RU-19, RU-21 and 22 (Table 3).

3.1.1. VNTR polymorphism and discriminatory potential

The 16 VNTR, RU-2 to 4, 6 to 8, 10 to 16, RU-19, RU-21 and RU-22, that amplified all tested strains (apart RU-8 for Bankouma 421) showed similar polymorphisms (3–4 alleles), apart RU-8, 10 and 12, ERWO, 21 RU candidates...
which were more polymorphic with 6, 5 and 5 alleles respectively (Table 3). Distinct and clear amplification products were obtained for these 16 VNTR. RU-3 and RU-13 profiles were identical for the 13 strains whereas all the other VNTR allowed differentiating them (Table 3). Altogether, the 16 VNTR allowed to distinguish ten different profiles among these 13 strains.

For the determination of the DI, 12 different strains were taken into consideration, in order to avoid the inclusion of artificial clusters of strains linked to laboratory conditions (Gardel and CTVM strains) and attenuation phenomena (Gardel and Senegal strains). The global genetic DI value based on the number of alleles and on their frequency was 0.97.

A dendrogram was built using NJ method on the 16 VNTRs (data not shown). Nine strains corresponding to Western Africa on the one hand and Southern Africa on the other hand are grouped by geographical origin in the dendrogram, like 1/ ERSE p5 from Senegal, Sankat 430 (ERSA p4) from Ghana and the 3 strains from Burkina Faso, or 2/ Mara (ERMA p1) from South Africa, Lutale (ERLU p2) from Zambia and Umpala (ERUM p2) from Mozambique, whereas two strains originating from different areas are identical in the dendrogram (Welgevonden and Cameroon strains).

3.1.2. Stability

For the 16 VNTRs candidates, no variations of size were observed both for Gardel and for Senegal strains, between virulent and attenuated strains whatever the number of passages on bovine endothelial cells (until 237 for Gardel and 64 for Senegal) (Table 3). In addition, the Gardel CTVM and Blonde strains did not differ from original Gardel (ERGA p18) regarding the VNTRs. Moreover, there was no difference in the number of repetitions between ERWO and ERWE strains by in silico analysis, except for RU-10 and RU-13 (data not shown). For RU-10, there were 8 and 22 basic units for ERWO and ERWE respectively. The predicted number of repetitions for ERWE was confirmed for the 15/16 VNTRs including RU-10, by PCR amplification on ERWE DNA (Table 3). For RU-13, a difference in the number of repetitions between ERWE and ERWO, 9 vs 13 units, was anticipated by in silico analysis. After PCR amplification on ERWE DNA, we obtained a PCR amplicon corresponding to 10 basic units instead of 9 on RU-13. For Gardel strain, the number of predicted repetitions was confirmed by PCR amplification for all the VNTRs except for RU-7, which contained 5 instead of 4 basic units (Table 3).

3.2. Development of MLVA using ER DNA from infected ticks and goats

Experimentally infected samples, ticks and organs mimicking field samples, were tested for the 16 VNTRs. The specificity of the 16 pairs of primers (Table 2) was simultaneously checked on uninfected tick (0622M1) and uninfected goat samples: brain from goat 0543 and brain and lung from goat 0327. Satisfactory amplification for infected DNA samples was obtained both with GoTaq® Hot Start and Eurobio Taq Polymerases for ticks 0517F3 and M4 and 0046 brain. PCR signals obtained on experimentally infected ticks and organs (i.e. 0340M1, 9833 lung and brain) were weaker than those from in vitro ER DNAs.

Faint multi-bands or distinct amplicons were obtained also on uninfected ticks and organs for RU-3, 4, 8, 13, 15, 16, 19 and 22, tracing unspecific hybridizations of primers (data not shown). Thus, these VNTRs could not be used in these conditions on field samples. ER was detected from all infected ticks and organs for RU-6, 10, 12 and RU-21 with no signal for negative samples. The expected VNTR sizes were obtained from experimentally infected ticks and goats infected with Gardel and Bekuy 255 strains. Successful detection was observed on 2 out of 3 infected ticks and the 3 organs for RU-2, 7 and RU-14. For RU-11, both infected ticks and goat organs were not amplified, indicating a lower efficiency of these PCRs.

In summary, the optimal VNTRs were RU-2, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14 and RU-21, when taking into consideration both signal sensitivity and specificity. These 8 VNTRs were as discriminatory as the 16 VNTRs, and they allowed obtaining the same distribution of the strains in the dendrogram (Fig. 1 for 8 VNTR, data not shown for 16 VNTR) and the same DI value (0.97).

4. Discussion

The main difficulty at the early stage of the development of the MLVA technique for ER was related to its very low genome GC content (27.5%) (Frutos et al., 2006) as the optimal conditions for designed primers was around 50% of GC. The development on ER strains was successful for 16 out of 21 selected VNTRs. The positioning of these 16 VNTRs all along the genome with a bimodal distribution of their size strongly suggests that they are representative of the population of VNTR of ER genome (Frutos et al., 2006).

The lack of amplification for the 5 remaining VNTRs was probably due to important mutations or deletions in flanking zones, including or not VNTRs, for unamplified ER strains rather than default of primer

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>RU-2</th>
<th>RU-4</th>
<th>RU-6</th>
<th>RU-7</th>
<th>RU-8</th>
<th>RU-10</th>
<th>RU-11</th>
<th>RU-12</th>
<th>RU-13</th>
<th>RU-14</th>
<th>RU-15</th>
<th>RU-16</th>
<th>RU-19</th>
<th>RU-21</th>
<th>RU-22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERGA p18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERGA p27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERGA p40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERGA p237</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERLD p8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTVM p5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERBE p9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERBAK p4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERBA p7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERCA p9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERSA p4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERSE p5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERSE p64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERLU p2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERMA p1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERUM p2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERWE p11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb of alleles</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p: number of passages in culture.
NA: non amplified.
* Corresponding to 3.4 with an allelic profile different from Gardel cluster.

Apart RU-1 and RU-17, all are intergenic, thus the extreme 3′a very limited proportion of each of these VNTR was located within than intragenic VNTRs to counter-selection of mutations. In addition, RU-1, RU-5, 17 and 20, which suggests a lack of stability of these Gardel and Welgevonden strains (Allsopp et al., 2003; Raliniaina et al., 2010). These differences could explain the default of hybridization of some VNTR primers based on Gardel and Welgevonden sequences for Umpala, Senegal and Mara strains. Interestingly, either virulent or attenuated Senegal strains could not be amplified with RU-1, RU-5, 17 and 20, which suggests a lack of stability of these VNTRs and modifications of flanking zones along attenuation process. Apart RU-1 and RU-17, all are intergenic, thus a priori less submitted than intragenic VNTRs to counter-selection of mutations. In addition, a very limited proportion of each of these VNTR was located within the extreme 3′ end of the corresponding gene sequence, i.e. 5% of RU-1 and 1.7% of RU-17. Thus, a polymorphism of these VNTRs has probably no impact on the functionality of the corresponding proteins: bifunctional GMP synthase/glutamine aminotransferase and chaperon protein dnaJ respectively.

Intergenic VNTRs, and particularly those with larger size, are considered to be or to have been involved in expansion/contraction genomic events and thus in the genome plasticity (Frutos et al., 2006), in addition to recombinational events (Hughes and French, 2007). Even if evolutionary potential of VNTRs is needed in order to generate the diversity required for typing, a VNTR profile has to be stable enough for allowing epidemiological studies at least at the scale of an outbreak, and even for evolutionary studies, if the results are correlated with techniques such as MLST. The divergent profiles of the two Welgevonden strains, ERWO and ERWE, which differ by more than 3 kb, are illustrative of the evolutionary potential of the VNTR when ER is maintained in different in vivo and/or in vitro environments. Nevertheless, for the 16 selected VNTRs, differences between ERWO and ERWE defined by in silico analysis and confirmed by PCR for ERWE were limited to 2 VNTRs only. Stability of the tested VNTRs, at least in stable environments, is also suggested by the absence of any modification of the number of repeated sequences for Gardel and Senegal strains respectively despite a high number of passages in vitro. There is no involvement of the polymorphic VNTR tested in virulence, contrary to previous studies that have shown or suggested associations between repetitive sequences and pathogenicity, zoonotic potential and/or phase variation (Bouchouicha et al., 2009; Harper et al., 2008; Parkhill et al., 2000; van Belkum, 1999).

The same VNTR profile was observed for the CTVM and original Gardel strains despite important genomic modifications that occurred elsewhere in the genome, in particular in map genes and between Blonde and Gardel strains, which originate from strains isolated at 19 years' intervals in Guadeloupe.

The ability of developed VNTRs to type ER from experimentally infected ticks and goats mimicking field samples was essential in order to use this method for further molecular epidemiological studies. Eight VNTRs RU-2, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14 and RU-21 offered promising results on experimentally infected samples. These VNTRs, except RU-11, could be used immediately for the characterization of strains within host organs during epidemiological studies.

The high DI value reflects the good potential of the MLVA technique for diversity evaluation of ER populations. Our results reinforce the assertion of a great diversity among ER isolates (Adakal et al., 2010b; Martinez et al., 2004; Raliniaina et al., 2010; Vachiéry et al., 2008a). The topology of dendrograms was different between map1 and MLVA, i.e. Lutale and Umpala belong to the Gardel map1 cluster whereas they were widely different using MLVA. Thus, the MLVA technique appeared more discriminatory than genotyping based on map-1 gene.

Our results are in accordance with those obtained using MLST, pCS20 or groESL, as the Welgevonden, Senegal/Sankat 430 and Gardel strains belong to 3 different clusters, which suggests that MLVA is robust for studying strain relationships (Allsopp et al., 2003; van Heerden et al., 2004).

Our preliminary results suggested that MLVA permitted to associate MLVA profiles and geographical clusters from Southern Africa, from Caribbean region and from Western Africa. However, Cameroon and Welgevonden strains had the same VNTR profiles even though they were geographically distant. It has to be underlined that Cameroon and Welgevonden strains belong to the same cluster for 6 polymorphic MAP proteins (Raliniaina et al., 2010). More field samples or ER isolates from the field will be required in order to confirm that MLVA can be used as a geographical genetic marker, as a guide for the choice of vaccine strains for regional vaccines’ design and for traceability studies, especially if its zoonotic potential is confirmed.

5. Conclusions

MLVA will be suitable, quick and easier than MLST, which implied a sequencing step, for studying ER strains in regional laboratories. An optimization of the ER MLVA method considering the 16 VNTRs will be done by development of nested PCR in order to improve the sensitivity of ER typing in Amblyomma ticks and to do molecular epidemiological studies. In addition, further comparative studies with MLST using more isolates from various origins will be helpful for substantiating the limits of the use of MLVA for ER, which already appears as a very promising technique for studying ER diversity at local and more global scales.

More largely this is the first study on the development of MLVA approach for a Rickettsiales. It demonstrated that it could be developed and used for other Rickettsiales that could have similar genetic characteristics, like Rickettsia prowazekii and R. typhi.
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